

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/783,527	02/15/2001	Toshiki Tanaka	121.1001	4937
21171 7	590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HA	ALSEY LLP		PAYNE, D	DAVID C
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2633	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			/			
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ď			
Advisory Action	09/783,527	TANAKA ET AL.				
, Transcor, Francis	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David C. Payne	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	9SS			
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repich places the applic	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the san SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate extention; or (ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	าร.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s).					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	•					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been con <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-49</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:	•					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art disclosed positive and negative dispersion as discussed in the previous office action, the fact that the applicant claims to repair the line with sections of fiber that exhibit the same properties as the prior art is not patentable over the prior art.

M. R. SEDIGHIAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

m. R. Sedyhia